UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,883	11/13/2007	Flemming Abilidgaard	884A.0139.U1(US)	5968
29683 HARRINGTON	7590 06/14/201 N & SMITH	EXAMINER		
	DRIVE, Suite 202	JAMAL, ALEXANDER		
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/579,883	ABILIDGAARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALEXANDER JAMAL	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma	<u>ay 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) and 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Data	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Cthet:	ate atent Application				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/579,883 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim appears to be incomplete. Additionally the claim recites 'substantially and hereinbefore described with reference to. It is not clear what substantially means as claimed. Additionally it is not clear if the phrase and/or refers to 'and' or 'or'.

Clarification/Correction is requested.

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 3 objected to because of the following informalities: There are two claims
- numbered 3. The examiner assumed that the second claim numbered three is changed to claim
- 31. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/579,883

Art Unit: 2614

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

2. The following claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulthuis et al (US 6978127 B1), and further in view of Shirai (US 5828956 A.).

As per claims 1,23,29, Bulthuis discloses a handheld device (Col 3 lines 20-50) with a rotator that provides tactile feedback to the user (figs. 1-3) a separate audio feedback means (Fig. 4 block 410). The device comprises conversion means to convert the rotation positions of the wheel to characters which will correspond to any ordered sequence of test characters (Col 3 lines 20-30). However Bulthuis does not disclose a memory to store a predetermined security sequence which is then verified by verification means to verify a test sequence (entered characters) against a predetermined password.

Shirai discloses a portable device with a password stored in a memory (Col 14 lines 20-30) as part of the portable device. The password must be entered by the user (claim 1, abstract) and verified via a verification module in order for the phone to be programmed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a password function and memory based verification stage on the device of Bulthuis for the purpose of protecting the device from unauthorized use.

As per **claim 2,25**, the system of Bulthuis is digital and as such all analog interfaces, including the wheel must be converted to digital form in order to be processed

Art Unit: 2614

by processor 116. This includes the extent of rotation of the wheel of Bulthuis used to indicate specific characters to be entered into the phone.

As per **claims 3,12,13,24,** the device of Bulthuis will provide additional amplified audible feedback based on the variation of the quantized value (rate of wheel spin) (Col 3 lines 20-30).

As per **claim 31**, each character is assigned to a quantized value based on a rotation amount of the wheel.

As per **claim 4**, the wheel is used to enter characters to the portable device. These characters and associated quantized values, when used to input the password, would correspond to characters of the test sequence.

As per claims 5,6, the feedback device is an output audio device.

As per claim 7, there are individual characters to each graduation of the rotation.

As per **claim 8**, there are audible clicks when rotating the wheel (Bulthuis: Col 3 lines 25-30).

As per claims 11,26,27,28, the displayed characters and audio are another form of feedback to the user (fig. 1) corresponding to the rotational movement and quantized values.

As per claim 14, the characters are displayed as they are entered (Shirai: Col 12 lines 55-65)

As per **claim 15**, the entered numbers displayed on the screen of the device of Shirai, when used to enter the disclosed password, are read as a representation of at least

a safe dial as they are the means of gaining access to the content kept safe by the protection.

As per **claim 19**, the wheel shown in Fig. 3 of Bulthuis requires tactile responses on all sides in order for the user to rotate said wheel. The wheel spins around an acix that is perpendicular to the front face of the device (Fig. 3).

Page 5

As per claim 20, the rotator is disk like with a circular flat surface.

As per claim 21, the downward curved edge is substantially unaccessable.

As per claim 22, the rotator is used to enter data,

3. The following claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulthuis et al (US 6978127 B1)in view of Shirai (US 5828956 A.) and further in view of Blankstein US 20020187827 A1.

As per claims 15,17, Bulthuis and Shirai disclose a portable terminal with a wheel used to enter in characters for a password, but they do not disclose a graphic representation of a safe dorr opening when the correct characters are input.

Blankstein teaches an electronic gaming machine where users can enter in numbers which are compared to stored sequences and when the user enters in the correct sequence, the machine displays a safe opening (para. 52). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to implement the disclosed game and interface on the portable device of Bulthuis and Shirai for the advantage of entertainment gained from the feature.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

As per **claim 16**, the user can use controls (rotators) on the device to rotate the dials (para. 52).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9,10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable over the prior art of record if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498, and whose email address is alexander.jamal@uspto.gov

The examiner can usually be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone or email are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,883 Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are **571-273-8300** for regular communications and **571-273-8300** for After Final communications.

/Alexander Jamal/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Examiner Alexander Jamal

June 13, 2011